## EE 150 - Applications of Convex Optimization in Signal Processing and Communications Dr. Andre Tkacenko, JPL Third Term 2011-2012

## Homework Set #5

Due on Friday, May 11 at 1 PM in 110 Moore.

(10 points) (Adapted from CO-BV, Exercise 4.20) (Power assignment in a wireless communication system:) We consider n transmitters with powers p<sub>1</sub>,..., p<sub>n</sub> ≥ 0, transmitting to n receivers. These powers are the optimization variable in the problem. We let G ∈ ℝ<sup>n×n</sup> denote the matrix of path gains from the transmitters to the receivers; G<sub>i,j</sub> ≥ 0 is the path gain from transmitter j to receiver i. The signal power at receiver i is then S<sub>i</sub> = G<sub>i,i</sub>p<sub>i</sub>, and the interference power at receiver i is I<sub>i</sub> = ∑<sub>k≠i</sub>G<sub>i,k</sub>p<sub>k</sub>. The signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio, denoted SINR, at receiver i is given by S<sub>i</sub>/(I<sub>i</sub> + N<sub>i</sub>), where N<sub>i</sub> > 0 is the (self-) noise power in receiver i. The objective in the problem is to maximize the minimum SINR ratio over all receivers, i.e., to maximize

$$\min_{i=1,\dots,n} \frac{S_i}{I_i + N_i}$$

There are a number of constraints on the powers that must be satisfied, in addition to the obvious one  $p_i \geq 0$ . The first is a maximum allowable power for each transmitter, i.e.,  $p_i \leq P_i^{\max}$ , where  $P_i^{\max} > 0$  is given. In addition, the transmitters are partitioned into groups, with each group sharing the same power supply, so there is a total power constraint for each group of transmitter powers. More precisely, we have subsets  $\mathcal{K}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{K}_m$  of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  with  $\mathcal{K}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{K}_m = \{1, \ldots, n\}$  and  $\mathcal{K}_j \cap \mathcal{K}_l = 0$  if  $j \neq l$ . For each group  $\mathcal{K}_l$ , the total associated transmitter power cannot exceed  $P_l^{\text{gp}} > 0$ :

$$\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_l} p_k \le P_l^{\mathrm{gp}}, \ l = 1, \dots, m.$$

Finally, we have a limit  $P_k^{\rm rc} > 0$  on the total received power at each receiver:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} G_{i,k} p_k \le P_i^{\rm rc}, \ i = 1, \dots, n.$$

(This constraint reflects the fact that the receivers will saturate if the total received power is too large.)

Formulate the SINR maximization problem as a generalized linear-fractional program.

2. (10 points) (Adapted from CO-BV, Exercise 4.28) (*Robust quadratic programming:*) In lecture, we discussed robust linear programming as an application of second-order cone programming. For this problem, we consider a similar robust variation of the (convex) quadratic program

minimize 
$$(1/2) \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{x} + r$$
  
subject to  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$ 

For simplicity, we assume that only the matrix  $\mathbf{P}$  is subject to errors, while the other parameters  $(\mathbf{q}, r, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$  are known exactly. The robust quadratic program is defined as

minimize 
$$\sup_{\mathbf{P}\in\mathcal{E}} \{(1/2) \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{x} + r\}$$
  
subject to  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$ 

where  $\mathcal{E}$  is the set of possible matrices **P**.

For each of the following sets  $\mathcal{E}$ , express the robust QP as a convex problem. Be as specific as you can. If the problem can be expressed in a standard form (e.g., QP, QCQP, SOCP, SDP), say so.

- (a) A finite set of matrices:  $\mathcal{E} = \{\mathbf{P}_1, \dots, \mathbf{P}_K\}$ , where  $\mathbf{P}_i \in \mathbb{S}^n_+$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, K$ .
- (b) A set specified by a nominal value  $\mathbf{P}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^n_+$  plus a bound on the eigenvalues of the deviation  $\mathbf{P} \mathbf{P}_0$ :

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ \mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{S}^n : -\gamma \mathbf{I} \preceq \mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}_0 \preceq \gamma \mathbf{I} \}$$

where  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\mathbf{P}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^n_+$ .

(c) An ellipsoid of matrices:

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ \mathbf{P}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^K \mathbf{P}_i u_i : ||\mathbf{u}||_2 \le 1 \right\} \,.$$

You can assume  $\mathbf{P}_i \in \mathbb{S}_+^n$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, K$ . *Hint:* A hyperbolic constraint of the form

$$||\mathbf{x}||_2^2 \le yz, \ y \ge 0, \ z \ge 0,$$

where  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $y, z \in \mathbb{R}$  can be shown to be true if and only if the second-order cone (SOC) constraint

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} 2\mathbf{x} \\ y-z \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{2} \le y+z, \ y \ge 0, z \ge 0$$

holds true.

**3.** (10 points) (Adapted from CO-BV, Exercise 4.39) (*SDPs and congruence transformations:*) Consider the SDP

minimize  $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$ subject to  $x_1 \mathbf{F}_1 + \dots + x_n \mathbf{F}_n + \mathbf{G} \preceq \mathbf{0}$ ,

with  $\mathbf{F}_i, \mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{S}^k, \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

(a) Suppose  $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$  is nonsingular. Show that the SDP is equivalent to the SDP

minimize 
$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$$
  
subject to  $x_1 \widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_1 + \dots + x_n \widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_n + \widetilde{\mathbf{G}} \preceq \mathbf{0}$ ,

where  $\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_i = \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{F}_i \mathbf{R}, \ \widetilde{\mathbf{G}} = \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{G} \mathbf{R}.$ 

- (b) Suppose there exists a nonsingular **R** such that  $\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_i$  and  $\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}$  are diagonal. Show that the SDP is equivalent to an LP.
- (c) Suppose there exists a nonsingular **R** such that  $\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_i$  and  $\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}$  have the form

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{i} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{a}_{i} \\ \mathbf{a}_{i}^{T} & \alpha_{i} \end{bmatrix}, \ i = 1, \dots, n, \ \widetilde{\mathbf{G}} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{b}^{T} & \beta \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\alpha_i, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ . Show that the SDP is equivalent to an SOCP with a single SOC constraint.

**4.** (10 points) (Adapted from CO-BV, Exercise 5.12) (*Analytic centering:*) Derive a dual problem for

minimize 
$$-\sum_{i=1}^m \log(b_i - \mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x})$$
,

with domain  $\{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x} < b_i, i = 1, ..., m\}$ . First introduce new variables  $y_i$  and equality constraints  $y_i = b_i - \mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}$ . (The solution of this problem is called the *analytic center* of the linear inequalities  $\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x} \le b_i$ , i = 1, ..., m. Analytic centers have geometric applications and play an important role in

barrier methods used to numerically solve convex optimization problems.)

\*5. (30 points) (Adapted from CO-AE, Exercise 4.57) (*Capacity of a communication channel:*) We consider a communication channel with input  $X(t) \in \{1, ..., n\}$  and output  $Y(t) \in \{1, ..., m\}$ , where t represents time. The relation between the input and output is given statistically:

$$p_{i,j} = \Pr \{ Y(t) = i \mid X(t) = j \}, \ i = 1, \dots, m, \ j = 1, \dots, n \}$$

The matrix  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is called the *channel transition matrix*, and the channel is called a *discrete memoryless channel* (since the inputs and outputs take on discrete values and the statistics do not vary with time here).

A famous result of Shannon states that information can be sent over the communication channel, with arbitrarily small probability of error, at any rate less than a number C, called the *channel capacity*, in bits per second. Shannon also showed that the capacity of a discrete memoryless channel can be found by solving an optimization problem. Assume that X has a probability distribution denoted  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e.,

$$x_j = \Pr\{X = j\}, \ j = 1, \dots, n.$$

The mutual information between X and Y is given by

$$I(X;Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j p_{i,j} \log_2 \frac{p_{i,j}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k p_{i,k}}$$

Then the channel capacity C is given by

$$C = \sup_{\mathbf{x}} I(X;Y) \; ,$$

where the supremum is over all possible probability distributions for the input X, i.e., over  $\mathbf{x} \succeq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x} = 1$ .

Show how the channel capacity can be computed using convex optimization.

*Hint:* Introduce the variable  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}$ , which gives the probability distribution of the output Y, and show that the mutual information can be expressed as

$$I(X;Y) = -\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} - \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \log_2 y_i$$

where  $c_j = -\sum_{i=1}^m p_{i,j} \log_2 p_{i,j} = \sum_{i=1}^m p_{i,j} \log_2(1/p_{i,j}), \ j = 1, \dots, n.$ 

## **Reading assignments:**

1. Read through Chapter 4 and begin Chapter 5 of CO-BV.

## **Reminders:**

*Late homework policy for EE 150:* Late homeworks will not be accepted. There will be no exceptions to this other than institute established emergency reasons, in which case a signed letter is required from an authorized official.

**NCT Problems:** Remember that problems with an asterisk, such as **\*7** are no collaboration type (NCT) problems.

**Texts:** The abbreviation CO-BV corresponds to the textbook "*Convex Optimization*" by Stephen Boyd and Lieven Vandenberghe. In addition, CO-AE refers to the *Additional Exercises for Convex Optimization*, also by Boyd and Vandenberghe. Finally, CVX corresponds to the cvx *Users' Guide* by Michael Grant and Stephen Boyd.